Stamshaw Junior School Pupil premium strategy statement 2022-2023

(Please see review and impact analysis in purple for Year 1: 21-22)

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2022 to 2023 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	Stamshaw Junior School
Number of pupils in school	236
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	109 pupils (46%)
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium	2021 – 2024
strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	Year 2: 2022-2023
Date this statement was published	December 2022
Date on which it will be reviewed	July 2023
Statement authorised by	Rob Jones Headteacher
Pupil premium lead	Sam Cantini Deputy Headteacher
Governor / Trustee lead	Sherri Bailey Governor

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£ 148,195
	£ 33,463
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	(£15,805 Recovery Premium & £17,658 SLT)
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0
Total budget for this academic year	£181,658
If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our ultimate intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across all subject areas. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers.

We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker and young carers. The activity we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans for education recovery, notably in its targeted support through the National Tutoring Programme for pupils whose education has been worst affected, including non-disadvantaged pupils.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure a high quality teaching for all, including providing an ambitious curriculum
- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve
- support pupils to become happy, resilient, confident and caring, with exemplary attitudes in their learning.

Our year 6 2022 results indicate an improvement in both attainment and progress from the last published data in 2019 (Figures in brackets are children in receipt of PP)

Subject	% of pupils achieving	% of pupils achieving
	expected standard	greater depth
Reading	59% (56%)	19% (15%)
Writing	63% (53%)	7% (3%)
Maths	64% (56%)	19% (9%)
EGPS	66% (62%)	29% (18%)
RWM combined	54% (50%)	5% (3%)

Data from 2019

Subject	% of pupils achieving	% of pupils achieving
	expected standard	greater depth
Reading	48% (35%)	12% (10%)
Writing	40% (35%)	2% (0%)
Maths	57% (42%)	2% (0%)
EGPS	53%	15%
RWM combined	29% (21%)	0%

From the data, it can be seen that progress has been made, there is still work to be completed to accelerate the progress of the disadvantaged pupils.

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge									
1	The attainment gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged has improved in most year groups, however there is still progress to be made in terms of attainment for all pupils, especially the pupils categorised as disadvantaged. Percentage of children working at ARE+ (Nov21)									nost
		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5		Year 6		
		All	PP	All	PP	All	PP	All	PP	
	Reading	44 19/44	42 8/19	57 35/62	42 11/26	63 38/60	56 17/30	58 35/60	47 15/32	
	Writing	41 18/44	31 6/19	39 24/62	23 6/26	52 31/60	43 13/30	62 37/60	57 18/32	
	Maths	44 19/44	37 7/19	39 24/62	27 7/26	55 33/60	43 13/30	55 33/60	44 14/32	

	Percentage of children working at ARE+ (Nov22)									
		Year 3		Yea	r 4		Year 5		Year 6	
		All	PP	All		PP	All	PP	All	PP
	Reading	54% 37/69	59% 16/27	57% 26/4		48% 12/25	47% 27/58	33% 9/27	53% 31/58	48% 15/31
	Writing	42% 29/69	45% 12/27	43%		32% 8/25	41% 24/58	30% 8/27	52% 30/58	45% 14/31
	Maths	58% 40/69	37 7/19	54% 25/4		27 7/26	45% 26/58	37% 9/27	51% 30/58	39% 12/31
2	Multiple, complex barriers exist for a number (approx.27%) of our children. These include SEND (pupils who have a learning difficulty or disability, EAL (English as an additional language), Vulnerable (involvement from a social worker or on the edge of, adopted or recently adopted, temporary housing, young carers, care leavers etc.) and those in receipt of Pupil Premium Number/percentage of children with multiple complex needs across the school community									
	Dec 21	<u>, </u>			Tota	l % of	% of school population			
	Pupil Premi	um EA	L SE	ND	5	2	<u> </u>			
	Pupil premi	um EA	ıL .		6	2				
	Pupil premi	um	SE	ND	34	15				
	Pupil premi	um			60	27				
	Dec 22				Tota	l % of	school pop	oulation		
	Pupil Premi	um EA	L SE	ND	8	3.5%)			
	Pupil premi	um EA	\L		12	5%				
	Pupil premi	um	SE	ND	39	17%				
	Pupil premi	Pupil premium 58 25%								
3		ed. Attain			_			•		early reading yet in line with
4		disadvant	taged chi			-		•	tal events	e.g. parents'
5	evenings, consultation meetings, learning workshops, assemblies Poor attendance for a number of children impacts on their learning. Number of disadvantaged children with attendance at less than 90% since the start of the academic year during the Autumn 1 half term: PAR									
6	Further dev	•	ll-being o	pport	unitie	es to sup	port SEM	H (social,	emotion	al and mental

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

itended o	ıtcome							Success criteria
Raised atta	inment in	reading, v	vriting,	maths. (K	S2 targ	ets 20	023)	Difference is diminished within
		EXPECTED +			% HIGHE	R		school and levels are in line wit
NATIONAL 2019	RWM R 65 73	78 7		10 P		M 20	GPS 34	national outcomes for
ACADEMY 2019	26 43	40 5	7 0	0 1		2	0	disadvantaged
ACADEMY 2022	59 73 54 59	69 7 63 6	4 66	5 1		19	29	Children will leave KS2 with
FFT50 2023 FFT20 2023	73% 78% 79% 83%	82% 86 86% 90		15% 33 23% 42		34% 46%	44% 55%	
FFT5 2023	84% 88%	89% 93	% 91%	34% 52	% 52%	59%	66%	similar outcomes to their non-
OWN PAST PRO TARGET 2023	50% 62% 59% 76%	63% 73 64% 73		2% 14 15% 31		13% 25%	0% 34%	disadvantaged peers.
AT/ABOVE								See data above (KS2 Outcomes
EXPECTED:								
SECURE BELOW	47% 69%	46% 54	% 64%	8% 19	% 10%	14%	18%	
EXPECTED - REQUIRE								
INTERVENTION	12%(7) 7%(4)	18%(8) 19%	(11) 10%(6)	7%(4) 12%	(7) 7%(4)	11%(6)	16%(9)	
UNLIKELY TO REACH								
EXPECTED	41% 24%	36% 27	% 26%	85% 69	% 83%	75%	66%	
Dunile with	multiple o	omploy b	arriars t	a thair	A++a	n m o n	+ for +b	ass punils is in line with targets set
Pupils with		•						ese pupils is in line with targets set
learning ma	ake good p	rogress a	nd their			.		ed pupil progress meetings
attainment	in reading	g writing a	nd mat	hs raises	Chilo	lren's	progres	s in Yr 6 has been analysed on an
					indiv	idual	basis.	
					720/	of mu	nila with	CEND made expected progress in
					72% of pupils with SEND made expected progress in			
					reading and 17% made better than expected progress			
					89% of pupils with SEND made expected progress in			
					writi	ng an	d 6% ma	ade better than expected progress
					78%	of nu	nils with	SEND made expected progress in
					matr	is and	I 11% m	ade better than expected progress
					FFT A	Aspire	Data fo	r all pupils with SEND
						inment 202		
					23 pupils			
							ore (Re, Ma) V	
					95	./		
								"
					FFT Rank	C.		90 2019 2020 2021 2022
					100	BETTER		◆ Your School → National Average
							nal average (97.5)	and and describe the control of
					Scale	ed sco	re incre	ased and closed the gap with
					Natio	onal d	ata	
					0/ =	and also and	d. (D- 14/- 84 :	
					% Expec	ed standar	d+ (Re, Wr, Ma)	228
					269	%		20% 25% 20%
					20	,0		15% 10% 5%
					FFT Rank			0% 2019 2020 4 National Average 2021 2022
					100	BETTER ▶	1	** TRIMINE ANTENNE
					In	ine with the nation	al average (18%)	
					Date	a na tao s	£	Claudah CENID what was a second of
						_		oils with SEND who were expected
					1 .			ing, writing and maths.

3	Improve early reading development to enhance reading skills and influence reading for pleasure for pupils in the lower phase of the school	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Spring 22	66%	25%	2	
		Autumn 22 (14/11)	71% (+5)	32% (+7)	6 (+4)	
		No Nonsense phonics used in 20-21 and 21-22 used with key identified readers. Implementation of Little				
		Wandle Catch	Up scheme	from Septemb	er 2022.	
4	A reduction of the number of pupils who are categorised as persistent absentees, especially if they are in receipt of PP	For the vast majority of pupils attendance is above 95% Overall, attendance data last year was not as high as expected. Many strategies employed in multi agency working with some success. Work required on the				
5	A wider range of methods of engaging with parents are introduced that support parent more easily interacting with staff and as a result are more engaged with their children's learning.	_				
6	To ensure that the wellbeing and SEMH needs of pupils are met to ensure that they are ready to engage in learning.	Progress from all starting points is at least, in line with peers in school across the curriculum Evidence in books and behaviours for learning, as well as in the data. Behaviour, especially behaviours for learning across the school are good. There is minimal low-level disruption to learning (lesson visit reports and monitoring across the school, AIP reports)				

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) this academic year to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £ 29,450 Cost for 21-22 £27,658

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Ongoing CPD/mentoring/ coaching to further develop teaching in all classes Ongoing	EEF guidance report: High Quality Teaching https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/support- for-schools/school-improvement-planning/1-high-quality- teaching EEF guidance report: Effective Professional Development https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educatio n-evidence/guidance-reports/effective-professional- development	1,2,3,4,6
Increase training for teaching assistants, including how to support QFT in classrooms. Ongoing Starting earlier to meet with class teacher each day. Complete	EEF guidance report: Making the Best use of Teaching Assistants https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/guidance-reports/teaching-assistants EEF guidance report: Special Educational Needs in Mainstream Schools https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/guidance-reports/send	1,2,3
Ensure mathematical fluency to support rapid progress. Fluency & arithmetic strategies implemented throughout 21-22 Working on gender difference in 22-23	EEF guidance: Improving mathematics in KS2 & 3 https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educatio n-evidence/guidance-reports/maths-ks-2-3	1,2,6
Develop writing and spelling across the school by reviewing the curriculum, strategies, sequencing etc to support writing development. CPD around spelling strategies and improved data for Yr 6. Ongoing	EEF Guidance report: Preparing for Literacy https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educatio n-evidence/guidance-reports/literacy-early-years EEF Guidance report: Improving Literacy in Key Stage 2 https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educatio n-evidence/guidance-reports/literacy-ks2	1,2,3,6

Improve the effective provision for SEND pupils across the school. This includes further development of the using assessment tools for SEND categories Ongoing: use of assessment tools	EEF Guidance report: Special Educational Needs in Mainstream https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/guidance-reports/send EEF guidance report: Making the Best use of Teaching Assistants https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/guidance-reports/teaching-assistants	1,2,3,4,5,6
Meeting with the year group each term Streamline paperwork and accountability		

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £ 109,463

Cost for 21-22 £65,745

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Small group and individual tuition led by experienced school staff. Ongoing	Teaching and Learning Toolkit EEF Small Group Tuition https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundationen	1,2,3,4,5,6
National tutoring programme – small group; 1:1 intervention to support attainment in English and Maths part funded by the tutoring funding Tuition sessions to continue in 22-23	Teaching and Learning Toolkit 1:1 Tuition and small group Tuition <a education-evidence="" educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk="" href="https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/one-to-one-tuition-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/small-group-tuition-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/small-group-tuition-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/small-group-tuition-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-https://educationendowmentfoundation-https://educationen</td><td>1,2,3,4,5</td></tr><tr><td>Further development of using targeted interventions to close the learning gaps in English Literacy Gold (formerly Dyslexia Gold) Accelerated reader</td><td>Teaching and Learning Toolkit EEF Small Group Tuition https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/small-group-tuition Brooks' What works for Literacy difficulties http://www.thedyslexia-spldtrust.org.uk/media/downloads/119-what-works-for-literacy-difficulties-6th-edition-2020.pdf	1,2,3
Phonics intervention in lower phase is reviewed and streamlined to ensure that it is well structured and sequential to support early reading skills	The Reading Framework: DFE https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/upl oads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1000986/Re ading_framework_Teaching_the_foundations_of_literacy July-2021.pdf	1,2,3,

(further development of No	Teaching and Learning Toolkit EEF: Phonics	
Nonsense Phonics in 21-22	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educatio	
and implementation of	n-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/phonics	
Little Wandle in 22-23)		
Purchase and roll out of		
Little Wandle Collins' E-		
reader		

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £ 57 565 Cost for 21-22 £63,345

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s)
Increase in the number of methods of interaction between staff and parents. This includes further development of the Pastoral Team	Teaching and Learning Toolkit: Parental Engagement: https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/parental-engagement EEF Guidance report: Working with parents to support children's learning https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/guidance-reports/supporting-parents	4,5,6
Pastoral Support and ELSA intervention: Parental workshops Family Support plans	Swindon County 2020 research shows: https://localoffer.swindon.gov.uk/content/send-local-offer/landing-pages/education/emotional-literacy-support-assistant-elsa-reports-2020/	1,2,3,4,5,6
Support student wellbeing: identified pupils are supported to acquire strategies for emotional wellbeing. Zones of Regulation introduced as part of MH strategic plan in 22-23	Teaching and Learning Toolkit: Social and Emotional learning https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/social-and-emotional- learning EEF Guidance report: Improving Social and Emotional Learning in Primary Schools https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/guidance-reports/primary-sel EEF Guidance report: Metacognition and self-regulation https://d2tic4wvo1iusb.cloudfront.net/guidance- reports/metacognition-and-self-regulated- learning/EEF Metacognition and self- regulated learning.pdf	1,2,3,4,6

Contingency fund for acute issues.	Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified.	All
	These may include subsidised breakfast club place; subsidised costs of trips; uniform	

Total budgeted cost: £196,478

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2021 to 2022 academic year.

This is year 2 of a 3 year plan

Purple text indicates a review of progress so far.

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

Programme	Provider
Accelerated Reader	Ren Learning
TT Rockstars	Maths Circle Ltd
CPoMS	Raptor Tech
Literacy Gold	Engaging Eyes Limited
Junior Language Link	Speechlink Multimedia Ltd
SNAP	Hodder & Stoughton Ltd